Overview and Scrutiny of Committee



Title of Report:	Work Programme Update		
Report No:	OAS/SE/15/013		
Report to and date:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee	22 July 2015	
Chairman of the Committee:	Diane Hind Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Tel: 07890 198957 Email : <u>diane.hind@stedsbc.gov.uk</u>		
Lead officer:	Christine Brain Scrutiny Officer Tel: 01638 719729 Email: <u>Christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>		
Purpose of report:	 To update the Committee on the current status of its rolling work programme of annual items for scrutiny during 2015-2016 and current Task and Finish Groups running (Appendix 1); To remind Members to complete the Work Programme Suggestion Form when submitting future items for potential scrutiny (Appendix 2). 		
Recommendation:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee:		
	That, Members <u>note</u> the current status of the work programme and the annual items expected during 2015-2016;		
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <u>do not</u> apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - \Box No, it is not a Key Decision - \boxtimes		
Documents attache	ched: Appendix 1 – Current Work Programme and and Finish Group Appendix 2 - Work Programme Suggestion Fo		

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendations

1.1 Rolling Work Programme

- 1.1.1 The Committee has a rolling work programme, whereby suggestions for scrutiny reviews are brought to each meeting, and if accepted, are timetabled to report to a future meeting.
- 1.1.2 The work programme also leaves space for Call-ins and Councillor Calls for Action. The current position of the work programme for the next few months is attached at **Appendix 1** for information.

1.2 Member Work Programme Suggestion Form

- 1.2.1 Attached at **Appendix 2** is the Member Work Programme Suggestion Form, which Members are reminded to complete when submitting future items for potential scrutiny.
- 1.2.2 This enables suggestions received to be considered by the Committee at each meeting.

Overview and Scrutiny Committee Rolling Work Programme (St Edmundsbury Borough Council)

The Committee has a rolling work programme, whereby suggestions for scrutiny reviews are brought to each meeting, and if accepted, are timetabled to report to a future meeting. The work programme also leaves space for Call-ins and Councillor Calls for Action.

Description	Lead Officer	Details			
9 September 2015					
Portfolio Holder Presentation	ТВС	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.			
Outcome of Review of Christmas Fayre	Market Development Officer	The Task and Finish Group to report back to the Committee on the outcomes of the Christmas Fayre Review.			
Cabinet Decision Plan	Scrutiny Officer	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.			
Work Programme Update	Scrutiny Officer	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.			
11 November 2015					
Portfolio Holder Presentation	ТВС	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.			
Car Parking Review	Car Parks Manager	The Review Group to report on its findings to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.			
Skyliner Way, Bury St Edmunds	Head of Planning and Growth	Quarterly progress report in relation to the recommendations made by the Committee at its meeting held on 3 September 2014			
Directed Surveillance (Quarter 2)	Monitoring Officer	To scrutinise the Council's use of its surveillance powers.			
Cabinet Decision Plan	Scrutiny Officer	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.			
Work Programme Update	Scrutiny Officer	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.			

Description	Lead Officer	Details			
13 January 2010	<u>-</u>				
15 January 2010	0				
Portfolio Holder Presentation	ТВС	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.			
Skyliner Way, Bury St Edmunds	Head of Planning and Growth	Quarterly progress report in relation to the recommendations made by the Committee at its meeting held on 3 September 2014			
Directed Surveillance (Quarter 3)	Monitoring Officer	To scrutinise the Council's use of its surveillance powers.			
Cabinet Decision Plan	Scrutiny Officer	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.			
Work Programme Update	Scrutiny Officer	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.			
9 March 2016	9 March 2016				
Portfolio Holder Presentation	ТВС	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.			
Cabinet Decision Plan	Scrutiny Officer	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.			
Work Programme Update	Scrutiny Officer	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.			
20 April 2016					
Portfolio Holder Presentation	ТВС	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.			
Skyliner Way, Bury St Edmunds	Head of Planning and Growth	Quarterly progress report in relation to the recommendations made by the Committee at its meeting held on 3 September 2014			
Directed Surveillance (Quarter 4)	Monitoring Officer	To scrutinise the Council's use of its surveillance powers.			
Cabinet Decision Plan	Scrutiny Officer	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.			
Work Programme Update	Scrutiny Officer	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.			

Futures items to be programmed at a later date

- 1. Future Developments for Regional Transport in West Suffolk (A1307) Progress Report.
- 2. Update on North West and North East Haverhill including Haverhill Town Centre Master Plan.
- 3. Decisions Plan: West Suffolk Operational Hub: Joint Scrutiny with Forest Heath District Council: Early September 2015
- 4. Decisions Plan: Local Housing Investment Options: Joint Scrutiny with Forest Heath District Council: Scrutinise the full business case September / October 2015

Current position of Overview and Scrutiny Task and Finish Groups

	Title	Purpose	Start date	Members appointed	Estimated End date
1.	New Housing Development Sites (Joint Scrutiny Review)	To jointly review with Forest Heath District Council the unacceptable length of time taken by housing developers to bring highways, footpaths, landscaping and open space up to adoption	August 2013	<u>St Edmundsbury</u> Diane Hind Angela Rushen Jim Thorndyke	ТВА
		standards on new developments.	Progress updates	Forest Heath	
			23 January 2014	David Bimson Ruth Bowman Bill Sadler	
2.	Christmas Fayre	To review the Christmas Fayre and to adopt a five year operational plan.	June 2015	<u>St Edmundsbury</u> Terry Buckle Patrick Chung Jeremy Farthing Richard Rout Clive Springett Frank Warby	TBA

Appendix 2



Forest Heath & St Edmundsbury councils



West Suffolk working together

working togetherSt EdmundsburySuggestion for Scrutiny Work Programme FormBOROUGH COUNCIL(To be considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee)St Edmundsbury

Suggestion from:

What would you like to suggest for investigation / review?

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

What are the main issues / concerns to be considered?

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Would this review benefit from a "West Suffolk" approach (i.e. joint scrutiny by both Councils), or is it relevant only to your council?

Who is responsible for providing this service, or tackling the issue in question?

Have you spoken to them, and if so, what was the response?

What is the Portfolio Holders view on this issue?

What would be the likely benefits and outcomes of carrying out this investigation / review?

Estimated Committee and officer resource implications (eg research group, oneoff report, dedicated meeting etc)

Suggested witnesses, documentation and consultation

Will this investigation / review contribute to one	or	more	of	the	Council's
Strategic Priorities? If so, which (please tick)					
Increased opportunities for economic growth					

Resilient families and communities that are healthy and active

Homes for our communities

Will this investigation / review contribute to the achievement of one or more of the commitments within the Council's Strategic Plan 2014-2016? If so, which (please tick)

Increased opportunities for economic growth:

- 1. Benefit growth that enhances prosperity and quality of life.
- 2. Existing businesses that are thriving and new businesses brought to the area.
- 3. People with the educational attainment and skills needed in our local economy.
- 4. Vibrant, attractive and clean high streets, village centres and markets.

Resilient families and communities that are healthy and active:

- 1. A thriving voluntary sector and active communities who take the initiative to help the most vulnerable.
- 2. People playing a greater role in determining the future of their communities.
- 3. Improved wellbeing, physical and mental health.

4. Accessible countryside and green spaces.

Homes for our communities:

- 1. Sufficient housing for current and future generations, including more affordable homes; improvements to existing housing.
- 2. New developments that are fit for the future, properly supported by infrastructure, and that build communities, not just housing.
- 3. Homes that are flexible for people's changing needs.

Will this investigation hit one of the essential elements of a scrutiny review when analysing potential scrutiny reviews? If so, which (please tick)		
Public Interest:		
The concerns of local people should influence the issues chosen by overview and		
scrutiny.		
Impact (Value):		
Priority should be given to issues that make the biggest difference to the social,		
economic and environmental wellbeing of the area, and which have the potential to		
make recommendations which could lead to real improvements. The outcome must		
also be proportionate to the cost of carrying out the review in terms of staff and		
councillor time.		
Relevance:		
Overview and scrutiny must be satisfied that an issue identified for review is		
relevant and does not duplicate existing work being undertaken elsewhere by		
various Working Groups, Cabinet, partners etc.		
Partnership working or external scrutiny:		
The focus of scrutiny is moving towards joint action and community leadership, so		
anything which offers this opportunity should be given serious consideration.		
Would you like to be involved in the investigation / review?		

Would you like to be involved in the investigation / review?		
Yes	No	
Date of request:	Signed	

Please return this form to the:

Scrutiny Officer, Forest Heath District Council, College Heath Road, Mildenhall, Suffolk, IP28 7EY

Email: <u>Christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>

Updated: July 2013 Updated: June 2014 (Revised West Suffolk Strategic Priorities) Updated: March 2015 (Amended as a Joint Form)